REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-8 are pending. No new matter has been added by way of the present

amendments. For instance, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the allowable subject

matter recited in claim 2. Claim 1 has also been amended to include the word "effective" which

was inadvertently omitted in a previous amendment. Claim 2 has been accordingly cancelled.

Lastly, the dependency of claim 3 has been amended due to the cancellation of claim 2. Thus, no

new matter has been added.

Also, this amendment does not raise new issues since the Examiner has already searched

and considered the subject matter of claim 2. Thus, the Examiner is not presented with the

burden of additional search and/or consideration.

In the event that the present submission does not place the application into condition for

allowance, entry thereof is respectfully requested as placing the application into better form for

appeal.

In view of the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider and withdraw rejection.

Issues Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 3-8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious

Docket No.: 5000-0110PUS1

Application No. 10/519,214 Amendment dated July 10, 2007 Reply to Office Action dated April 18, 2007 Docket No.: 5000-0110PUS1

The Present Invention and its Advantages

Independent claim 1 of the present invention relates to a fungicidal mixture, comprising:

A) the compound of the formula I

and

B) at least one compound Π selected from the compounds Π -2 and Π -4

and

in a synergistically effective amount. A review of the claims reveals that a specific combination

of compounds must be present in the inventive fungicidal mixture. Also, such a mixture, in

addition to being novel and non-obvious, achieves unexpectedly superior properties. These

properties are in fact synergistic since the combined compounds achieve better results than

would be additively expected from the individual compounds when employed as a fungicide.

Distinctions Between the Present Invention and the Cited Art

While not conceding to the Examiner's rejection, but merely to expedite prosecution,

independent claim 1 has been amended to limit the compound of the formula II to the allowable

subject matter, compounds II-2 and II-4 recited in claim 2. The Examiner has acknowledged at

page 6 that this subject matter is allowable. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection are respectfully requested.

In view of the above, issuance of a Notice of Allowability is respectfully solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Craig A. McRobbie (Reg. No.

42,874) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Application No. 10/519,214 Amendment dated July 10, 2007 Reply to Office Action dated April 18, 2007 Docket No.: 5000-0110PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: July 10, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By Craig A. McRobbie

Registration No.: 42,874

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorneys for Applicant